

## MODEL COMPANIONS OF DISTRIBUTIVE $p$ -ALGEBRAS

JÜRGEN SCHMID

**§0. Introduction.** Let  $B_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ , be the equational classes of distributive  $p$ -algebras (precise definitions are given in §1). It has been known for some time that the elementary theories  $T_n$  of  $B_n$  possess model companions  $T_n^*$ ; see, e.g., [6] and [14] and the references given there. However, no axiomatizations of  $T_n^*$  were given, with the exception of  $n = 0$  (Boolean case) and  $n = 1$  (Stonian case). While the first case belongs to the folklore of the subject (see [6], also [11]), the second case presented considerable difficulties (see Schmitt [13]). Schmitt's use of methods characteristic for Stone algebras seems to prevent a ready adaptation of his results to the cases  $n \geq 2$ .

The natural way to get a hold on  $T_n^*$  is to determine the class  $E(B_n)$  of existentially complete members of  $B_n$ : Since  $T_n^*$  exists, it equals the elementary theory of  $E(B_n)$ . The present author succeeded [12] in solving the simpler problem of determining the classes  $A(B_n)$  of algebraically closed algebras in  $B_n$  (exact definitions of  $A(B_n)$  and  $E(B_n)$  are given in §1) for all  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ .  $A(B_n)$  is easier to handle since it contains sufficiently many "small" algebras—viz. finite direct products of certain subdirectly irreducibles—in terms of which the members of  $A(B_n)$  may be analyzed (in contrast, all members of  $E(B_n)$  are infinite and  $\aleph_0$ -homogeneous). As it turns out,  $A(B_n)$  is finitely axiomatizable for all  $n$ , and comparing the theories of  $A(B_0)$ ,  $A(B_1)$  with the explicitly known theories of  $E(B_0)$ ,  $E(B_1)$ —viz.  $T_0^*$ ,  $T_1^*$ —, a reasonable conjecture for  $T_n^*$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq \omega$ , is immediate. The main part of this paper is concerned with verifying that the conditions formalized by  $T_n^*$  suffice to describe the algebras in  $E(B_n)$  (necessity is easy). This verification rests on the same combinatorial techniques as used in [12] to describe the members of  $A(B_n)$ .

§1 gives the pertinent definitions. For anything not found there, the reader is referred to Grätzer [3, Chapter III, in particular] for the algebraic part and to Hirschfeld and Wheeler [6] for the model-theoretic side. In §2, we summarize the results on  $A(B_n)$  from [12] and characterize the members of  $E(B_n)$  within  $A(B_n)$  by four conditions, EC1 through EC4. Combination of these results yields the desired description of existentially complete algebras in  $B_n$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ . Formalizing these descriptions accordingly,  $T_n^*$  may be written down explicitly (§3) and shown to be  $\aleph_0$ -categorical and complete for all  $n$ , whereas only  $T_0^*$ ,  $T_1^*$ ,  $T_2^*$  and  $T_\omega^*$  are even model completions of their respective  $T_i$ .

Received September 1, 1980.

**§1. Definitions and notation.** A (*distributive*)  $p$ -algebra  $L$  is an algebra  $L(\wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1)$  such that  $L(\wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$  is a distributive lattice with universal bounds 0 and 1 and the unary operation  $*$  satisfies  $x \leq a^*$  iff  $x \wedge a = 0$ . Since only distributive  $p$ -algebras are considered in this paper, “distributive” will be omitted in the sequel. The class of all  $p$ -algebras is equational and will be denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$ . The nontrivial equational subclasses of  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$  may be enumerated in a sequence  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\omega$  ( $n \in \omega$ ) (see Lee [10]).  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is the class of all Boolean algebras,  $\mathcal{B}_1$  that of all Stone algebras (those satisfying the identity  $x^* \vee x^{**} = 1$ ). The easiest way to describe the classes  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is by listing their subdirectly irreducible members. We need some notation. Let  $\mathbf{2}$  be the two-element Boolean algebra, and put  $F_n = \mathbf{2}_n$  for  $n \in \omega$ . For later reference, we agree to write  $\mathcal{C}$  for the countable atomless Boolean algebra. If  $L$  is any lattice,  $\hat{L}$  denotes the lattice obtained from  $L$  by adjoining a new greatest element to  $L$ . Now the subdirectly irreducible algebras in  $\mathcal{B}_n$  ( $n < \omega$ ) are exactly  $\hat{F}_0 \cong \mathbf{2}$ ,  $\hat{F}_1, \dots, \hat{F}_n$ , while an algebra is subdirectly irreducible in  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$  iff it is of the form  $\hat{B}$  for some Boolean algebra  $B$ . For details, compare Chapter III of [3].

On the model-theoretic side, we use a first-order language  $\mathcal{L}$  with equality.  $\mathcal{L}$  has variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and as nonlogical symbols two binary function symbols  $\wedge, \vee$ , a unary function symbol  $*$  and two constants 0, 1 with the obvious intended interpretations. We define  $\mathcal{L}$ -theories  $T_n$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$  as follows:  $T_\omega$  consists of any convenient set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences axiomatizing distributive lattices with 0, 1 together with  $(\forall x_1, x_2)(x_1 \wedge (x_1 \wedge x_2)^* = x_1 \wedge x_2^*)$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\theta_n$  be the sentence

$$(\forall x_1, \dots, x_n)((x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)^* \vee (x_1^* \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) \\ \vee (x_1 \wedge x_2^* \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)^* \vee \dots \vee (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n^*)^* = 1).$$

Let  $\theta_0$  be  $(\forall x_1)(x_1 \vee x_1^* = 1)$ . Now define  $T_n = T_\omega \cup \{\theta_n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is exactly the class of models of  $T_n$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$  (see [10]).

Consider now any fixed  $\mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ .  $L \in \mathcal{B}_n$  is called *existentially complete* (abbreviated e.c.) iff for any  $\exists_1$ -sentence  $\theta$  from  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  and for any extension  $L' \in \mathcal{B}_n$  of  $L$ ,  $L' \models \theta$  implies  $L \models \theta$ .  $L$  is called *algebraically closed* (abbreviated a.c.) if the same holds for *positive*  $\exists_1$ -sentences. We put  $E(\mathcal{B}_n) = \{L \in \mathcal{B}_n; L \text{ is e.c.}\}$  and  $A(\mathcal{B}_n) = \{L \in \mathcal{B}_n; L \text{ is a.c.}\}$ . Hence  $E(\mathcal{B}_n) \subseteq A(\mathcal{B}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_n$  and all inclusions are strict, as we shall see. Given  $L \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ ,  $SL = \{x \in L; x = x^{**}\}$  is the *skeleton* of  $L$ ,  $CL = \{x \in L; x \vee x^* = 1\}$  is the *center* of  $L$  and  $DL = \{x \in L; x^* = 0\}$  is the filter of *dense* elements of  $L$ . Any  $L \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$  contains a largest subalgebra which is *Stonian*, i.e., which belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_1$ . This is the subalgebra of  $L$  generated by  $CL \cup DL$ , and we denote it by  $\text{Ston } L$ . This definition is due to Katriňák; see, e.g., [7] for more details. Alternatively,  $\text{Ston } L = \{x \in L; x^* \vee x^{**} = 1\}$ . The following definition is adopted for technical convenience: Let  $L \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ ,  $s \in \text{Ston } L$ . Define  $B_L(s) = \{b \in SL; b \leq s \text{ and } b \vee b^* = s \vee s^*\} \cup \{0, s\}$ . The subscript  $L$  will be omitted when there is no danger of confusion. In general,  $B(s)$  is not closed under  $\wedge$  or  $\vee$ ; however  $x \in B(s)$  implies  $x^* \wedge s \in B(s)$  (since  $x \vee (x^* \wedge s) = s$  and  $x \wedge (x^* \wedge s) = 0$  this defines a relativized complementation on  $B(s)$ ). Details may be found in [12]. Given  $x \in L$  and a finite subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq L$ , we say that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is a *partition* of  $x$  provided  $y_1 \vee \dots \vee y_n = x$  and  $y_i \wedge y_k = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < k \leq n$ . A partition is called *proper* iff it does not contain 0. Finally,

given two  $p$ -algebras  $L_1, L_2$ , we allow ourselves to write  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$  whenever  $L_2$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $L_1$  as a  $p$ -subalgebra.

**§2. Existentially complete distributive  $p$ -algebras.** The members of  $A(\underline{B}_n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$  were determined in [12]. The following two theorems summarize the situation.

**THEOREM 1** ( $n < \omega$ ). *Let  $L \in \underline{B}_n$ ,  $0 \leq n < \omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

(i)  $L \in A(\underline{B}_n)$ .

(ii)  $L$  satisfies the following four conditions:

AC1  $DL$  is relatively complemented.

AC2 For all  $d_1, d_2 \in DL$  satisfying  $d_1 \vee d_2 = 1$ , there exists  $c \in CL$  such that  $c \leq d_1, c^* \leq d_2$ .

AC3 $_n$  Assume  $n \geq 2$ . For all  $s \in \text{Ston } L \setminus CL$ , there exists a proper partition  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subseteq B_L(s)$  of  $s$ .

AC4 $_n$  Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Put  $N = 2^n + 1$ . For all  $s \in \text{Ston } L \setminus CL$ , every proper partition  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subseteq B_L(s)$  of  $s$  and every  $0, s \neq b \in B_L(s)$ , there exists a partition  $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\} \subseteq CL$  of  $s^{**} \in CL$  such that  $b = \bigvee \{(b_i \wedge c_j); 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ .

(iii) If  $L_0$  is a finite subalgebra of  $L$ , there exists a  $p$ -algebra  $L_1$  such that  $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$  and  $L_1 \cong 2^i \times \hat{F}_n^j$  for some  $i, j \in \omega$ .

**THEOREM 1 $_\omega$** . *Let  $L \in \underline{B}_\omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

(i)  $L \in A(\underline{B}_\omega)$ .

(ii)  $L$  satisfies the following four conditions:

AC1 as above.

AC2 as above.

AC3 $_\omega$  For all  $s \in \text{Ston } L \setminus CL$ ,  $B_L(s) \not\supseteq \{0, s\}$ .

AC4 $_\omega$  For all  $s \in \text{Ston } L \setminus CL$  and all  $0, s \neq b \in B_L(s)$ , there exists a proper partition  $\{b_1, b_2\} \subseteq B_L(s)$  of  $b$ .

(iii) If  $L_0$  is a finite subalgebra of  $L$ , there exists a  $p$ -algebra  $L_1$  such that  $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L$  and  $L_1 \cong 2^i \times \hat{C}^j$  for some  $i, j \in \omega$ .

The following definition lists the conditions necessary and sufficient to characterize the members of  $E(\underline{B}_n)$  within  $A(\underline{B}_n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ :

**DEFINITION.** Let  $L \in \underline{B}_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ .  $L$  will be said to satisfy.

EC1 iff  $CL$  has no atoms.

EC2 iff  $DL$  has no antiatoms;

EC3 iff for any  $1 \neq c \in CL$  the set  $\{d \in DL; 1 \geq d \geq c\}$  has no least element;

EC4 iff for any  $0 \neq b \in SL$  there exists  $0 \neq c \in CL$  such that  $c \leq b$ .

The following theorem contains the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 2.** *An algebra is existentially complete in  $\underline{B}_n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ ) iff it is algebraically closed and satisfies EC1–EC4. Alternatively,  $L \in E(\underline{B}_n)$  iff  $L$  satisfies AC1–AC4 $_n$  and EC1–EC4.*

Theorem 2 may be rephrased in a more compact form using  $\text{Ston } L$  and Theorem 3.2 of [13]:

**COROLLARY 3.**  *$L \in E(\underline{B}_n)$  ( $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ ) iff  $\text{Ston } L \in E(\underline{B}_1)$  and  $L$  satisfies AC3 $_n$ , AC4 $_n$  and EC4.*

**PROOF.** Schmitt [13] proved that  $L \in E(\underline{B}_1)$  iff  $L$  satisfies AC1, AC2 and EC1–EC3. ■

The proof of Theorem 2 will be broken down into a series of lemmata. We begin with the easy half of the theorem.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $L \in E(\underline{B}_n)$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ . Then  $L$  satisfies EC1–EC4.*

PROOF. Consider the following  $\exists_1$ -sentences from  $\mathcal{L}(L)$ :

$$\theta_1(c) : (\exists x_1)(x_1 \vee x_1^* = 1 \ \& \ 0 < x_1 < c), \ 0 \neq c \in CL,$$

$$\theta_2(d) : (\exists x_1)(x_1^* = 0 \ \& \ d < x_1 < 1), \ 1 \neq d \in DL,$$

$$\theta_3(c, d) : (\exists x_1)(x_1^* = 0 \ \& \ c \leq x_1 < d), \ 1 \neq c \in CL \text{ and } c < d \leq 1, \ d \in DL,$$

$$\theta_4(b) : (\exists x_1)(x_1 \vee x_1^* = 1 \ \& \ x_1 \neq 0 \ \& \ x_1 \leq b), \ 0 \neq b \in SL.$$

Each one of these sentences may be satisfied in some direct product  $L' \supseteq L$  of suitably many subdirectly irreducibles from  $\underline{B}_n$ , so they must hold in  $L$ . ■

LEMMA 5. *Let  $L \in \underline{B}_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ , and assume  $L \cong \prod (L_i, i \in I)$ . Then any of EC1, ..., EC4 holds in  $L$  iff it holds in every  $L_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .*

PROOF. Straightforward. ■

We use the following notation: If  $L \in \underline{B}_\omega$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$ ,  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_L$  denotes the subalgebra of  $L$  generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . A homomorphism  $f$  is *over* an algebra  $L$  iff  $L \subseteq \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  fixes  $L$  pointwise.

The following two lemmata take care of the essential cases of the sufficiency half of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 6. *Assume  $L \in \underline{B}_n$  ( $2 \leq n < \omega$ ) satisfies AC1–AC4 $_n$  and EC1–EC4. Let  $L \subseteq L'$  and  $L' \cong \hat{F}_n^T$  ( $T$  some index set);  $L_0 \subseteq L$  and  $L_0 \cong F_r$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq n$  or  $L_0 \cong \hat{F}_n$ ;  $L_1 \subseteq L'$  and  $L_1 \cong F_s$  for some  $1 \leq s \leq n$  or  $L_1 \cong \hat{F}_n$ . Then there exists  $L_2 \subseteq L$ ,  $L_2 \cong L_1$  such that  $\langle L_0 \cup L_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle L_0 \cup L_2 \rangle$  are isomorphic over  $L_0$ .*

PROOF. Assume  $L, L', L_0$  and  $L_1$  are given as described. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be the atoms of  $L_0$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  those of  $L_1$ ;  $d = p_1 \vee \dots \vee p_r$ ,  $\delta = q_1 \vee \dots \vee q_s$ ; denote by  $S_n$  the group of permutations of an  $n$ -element set.

We define  $u_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ),  $v_h$  ( $h \in S_n$ ),  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $y_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ) in  $CL'$  as follows by listing their components ( $t \in T$ ):

$$u_{jt} = \begin{cases} 1, & d_t \neq 1 \text{ and } q_{jt} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (L_0 \cong \hat{F}_n, r = n);$$

$$v_{ht} = \begin{cases} 1, & d_t = \delta_t \neq 1 \text{ and } q_{jt} = P_{h(j)t} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (L_0 \cong \hat{F}_n \cong L_1, r = s = n);$$

$$x_{it} = \begin{cases} 1, & \delta_t \neq 1 \text{ and } p_{it} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (L_1 \cong \hat{F}_n, s = n);$$

$$y_{ijt} = \begin{cases} 1, & p_{it} = 1 = q_{jt}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is fairly obvious that  $u_j, v_h, x_i, y_{ij}$  are central in  $L'$ , pairwise disjoint and have join 1. Note that if  $u_j \neq 0$ , then  $u_j \not\leq d < 1$  and similarly for  $v_h$ , whereas  $x_i, y_{ij} \leq p_i \leq d$ . We will now use AC1 through EC4 to “simulate” these members of  $CL'$  within  $CL$ .

Suppose  $d = 1$ . Hence  $p_i \in CL$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Use EC1 to find  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_{ij} \in CL$ , pairwise disjoint, such that  $p_i = \bar{x}_i \vee \bigvee_j \bar{y}_{ij}$  and  $\bar{x}_i = 0$  iff  $x_i = 0, \bar{y}_{ij} = 0$  iff  $y_{ij} = 0$ . Put  $\bar{u}_j = \bar{v}_h = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s, h \in S_n$ .

Suppose  $d < 1$ . Use EC4 to find  $c_i \in CL$ ,  $c_i \neq 0$ , such that  $c_i \leq p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Use EC1 to find  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_{ij} \in CL$ , pairwise disjoint, such that  $c_i = \bar{x}_i \vee \bigvee_j \bar{y}_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $\bar{x}_i = 0$  iff  $x_i = 0$ ,  $\bar{y}_{ij} = 0$  iff  $y_{ij} = 0$ . Let  $c = (c_1 \vee \dots \vee c_r)^*$ . It follows that  $c \not\leq d$ . We want to construct  $\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_h \in CL$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $h \in S_n$ , pairwise disjoint,  $\not\leq d$ ,  $c = \bigvee_j \bar{u}_j \vee \bigvee_h \bar{v}_h$ ,  $\bar{u}_j = 0$  iff  $u_j = 0$ ,  $\bar{v}_h = 0$  iff  $v_h = 0$ . It suffices to show that if  $c \not\leq d$ , there exist nonzero  $c_1, c_2 \in CL$  satisfying  $c_1 \vee c_2 = c$ ,  $c_1 \wedge c_2 = 0$ ,  $c_1, c_2 \not\leq d$ . Now  $c \not\leq d$  implies  $c^* \vee d < 1$ . By EC3, we find  $d_1 \in DL$  such that  $c^* \vee d < d_1 < 1$ . By AC1, there exists  $d_2 \in DL$  such that  $d_2 \wedge d_1 = c^* \vee d$ ,  $d_2 \vee d_1 = 1$ . By AC2, there exists  $c_0 \in CL$  such that  $c_0 \leq d_1$ ,  $c_0^* \leq d_2$ . It is easy to check that  $c_1 = c \wedge c_0$ ,  $c_2 = c \wedge c_0^*$  have the required properties.

$L_2$  will now be constructed by describing its atoms  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ , "piecewise", that is, by listing the meets of  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  with  $\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_h, \bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_{ij}$ . This is sufficient since all algebras of type  $F_r$  or  $\hat{F}_r$  are generated, as  $p$ -algebras, by their atoms. Only  $Q_j \wedge \bar{x}_i$  requires some preliminary work. Assume  $\bar{x}_i \neq 0$ . Apply EC2 to  $\bar{x}_i^*$  in order to produce  $d_i \in DL$  satisfying  $\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i < \bar{x}_i$ . Obviously,  $\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i \in \text{Ston}L \setminus CL$ , so by AC3n there exists a proper partition  $\{\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}\} \subseteq B(\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i)$  of  $\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i$  (as observed above,  $\bar{x}_i \neq 0$  implies  $L_1 \cong \hat{F}_n$  and thus  $s = n$ ). Now put

$$Q_j = \bar{u}_j \vee \bigvee_{h \in S_n} (p_{h(j)} \wedge \bar{v}_h) \vee \bigvee_{\bar{x}_i \neq 0} \beta_{ij} \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^r (p_i \wedge \bar{y}_{ij})$$

and let  $L_2 = \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_s \rangle_L$ . It is fairly obvious from the construction that  $\langle L_0 \cup L_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle L_0 \cup L_2 \rangle$  are isomorphic over  $L_0$ . ■

**LEMMA 7.** Assume  $L \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$  satisfies AC1–AC4 and EC1–EC4. Let  $L \subseteq L'$  and  $L \cong \prod(\hat{A}_t, t \in T)$ , where  $A_t$  is an atomless Boolean algebra for each  $t \in T$ ;  $L_0 \subseteq L$  and  $L_0 \cong F_r$  or  $L_0 \cong \hat{F}_r$  for some  $r \in \omega$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ;  $L_1 \subseteq L'$  and  $L_1 \cong F_s$  or  $L_1 \cong \hat{F}_s$  for some  $s \in \omega$ ,  $s \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $L_2 \subseteq L$ ,  $L_2 \cong L_1$ , such that  $\langle L_0 \cup L_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle L_0 \cup L_2 \rangle$  are isomorphic over  $L_0$ .

**PROOF.** Assume  $L, L', L_0, L_1$  are given as specified; let  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  be the atoms of  $L_0$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  those of  $L_1$ ;  $d = p_1 \vee \dots \vee p_r$ ,  $\delta = q_1 \vee \dots \vee q_s$ . Let  $M$  be the set of all  $r \times s$  (0, 1)-matrices having at least one 1 in each row and each column.

We define  $u_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ),  $v_A$  ( $A \in M$ ),  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $y_{ijt}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ) in  $CL'$  as follows by listing their components ( $t \in T$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} u_{jt} &= \begin{cases} 1, & d_t \neq 1 \text{ and } q_{jt} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ v_{At} &= \begin{cases} 1, & d_t = \delta_t \neq 1 \text{ and } p_{it} \wedge q_{jt} = 0 \text{ iff } \alpha_{ij} = 0, \text{ where } A = (\alpha_{ij}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ x_{it} &= \begin{cases} 1, & \delta_t \neq 1 \text{ and } p_{it} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ y_{ijt} &= \begin{cases} 1, & p_{it} = 1 = q_{jt}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Again,  $u_j, v_A, x_i, y_{ijt}$  are central in  $CL'$ , pairwise disjoint and have join 1;  $u_j \neq 0$  implies  $u_j \not\leq d < 1$ , and similarly for  $v_A$ .

Proceed as in the proof of Lemma 6 to obtain  $\bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_A, \bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_{ij} \in CL$  which are 0 exactly if their counterparts in  $CL'$  are such, which are pairwise disjoint, have join 1, and satisfy  $\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_{ij} \leq p_i, \bar{u}_j, \bar{v}_A \not\leq d$  provided  $d \leq 1$ .

We construct  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6. Let  $A = (\alpha_{ij}) \in M$ . To obtain  $Q_j \wedge \bar{v}_A$ , consider  $p_i \wedge \bar{v}_A$  for  $i \leq 1 \leq r$ :  $p_i \wedge \bar{v}_A \in B(d \wedge \bar{v}_A)$ , so use AC3 $\omega$  and AC4 $\omega$  to get a representation  $p_i \wedge \bar{v}_A = \bigvee_{j=1}^r p_{ijA}$ , where the  $p_{ijA}$  belong to  $B(d_i \wedge \bar{v}_A)$ , are disjoint and  $p_{ijA} = 0$  iff  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ . Put  $Q_j \wedge \bar{v}_A = \bigvee_{i=1}^r p_{ijA}$ . Next, assume  $\bar{x}_i \neq 0$ . Applying EC2 to  $\bar{x}_i^*$  yields  $d_i \in DL$  satisfying  $\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i < \bar{x}_i$ . By AC3 $\omega$ ,  $B(\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i) \neq \{0, \bar{x}_i \wedge d_i\}$ , so using AC4 $\omega$  suitably often one finds  $\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{is} \in B(\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i)$ , nonzero, pairwise disjoint and satisfying  $\bar{x}_i \wedge d_i = \beta_{i1} \vee \dots \vee \beta_{is}$ . Put  $Q_j \wedge \bar{x}_i = \beta_{ij}$ . Finally, let

$$Q_j = \bar{u}_j \vee \bigvee_{A \in M} \bigvee_{i=1}^r p_{ijA} \vee \bigvee_{x_i \neq 0} \beta_{ij} \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^r (p_i \wedge \bar{y}_{ij}).$$

Define  $L_2 = \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_s \rangle_L$ . By construction,  $\langle L_0 \cup L_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle L_0 \cup L_2 \rangle$  are isomorphic over  $L_0$ . ■

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2, SUFFICIENCY PART.** Let  $L \in \mathcal{B}_n$  ( $2 \leq n \leq \omega$ ) and assume  $L$  satisfies AC1–AC4 $n$  and EC1–EC4. Consider  $L_1 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $L_1 \supseteq L$ ;  $a_1, \dots, a_l \in L$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in L_1$ . Proving  $L \in E(\mathcal{B}_n)$  amounts to constructing  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in L$  such that  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_l, v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$  and  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_l, u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle$  are isomorphic over  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_l \rangle$ : If  $L_1 \models (\exists x_1, \dots, x_m) \phi(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_l)$  with  $\phi$  quantifier-free from  $\mathcal{L}(L)$ , say  $L_1 \models \phi(v_1, \dots, v_m, a_1, \dots, a_l)$ , then by isomorphism over  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_l \rangle$  we have  $L \models \phi(u_1, \dots, u_m, a_1, \dots, a_l)$ , that is,  $L \models (\exists x_1, \dots, x_m) \phi(x_1, \dots, x_m, a_1, \dots, a_l)$ . Using subdirect representation and the fact that every Boolean algebra may be embedded into an atomless one, it clearly suffices to assume that

$$(*) \quad L_1 \cong \hat{F}_n^T \ (n < \omega) \quad \text{or} \quad L_1 \cong \prod (\hat{A}_t, t \in T) \quad (n = \omega),$$

where  $A_t$  is an atomless Boolean algebra for each  $t \in T$  ( $T$  any suitable index set).

Next, we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$  actually is a subalgebra of  $L$ .  $L$  is a.c. since it satisfies AC1–AC4 $n$ , so by Theorem 1(iii) there exists a subalgebra  $L'$  of  $L$  such that  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\} \subseteq L'$  and  $L' \cong 2^i \times \hat{F}_n^j$  ( $n < \omega$ ) or  $L' \cong 2^i \times \hat{C}^j$  ( $n = \omega$ ) for suitable  $i, j \in \omega$ . In the second case, we may conclude that  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\} \subseteq 2^i \times \hat{F}_r^j$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . Hence, we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$  is isomorphic to  $2^i \times \hat{F}_n^j$  ( $n < \omega$ ) or to  $2^i \times \hat{F}_r^j$  ( $n = \omega$ ) for some  $i, j, r$ . The centers of these finite subalgebras of  $L$  contain  $i + j$  atoms  $c_1, \dots, c_{i+j} \in CL \subseteq CL_1$ . Divide  $L_1$  by the canonical congruences  $\theta(c_k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq i + j$ .  $L_1/\theta(c_k)$  is still a direct product of type (\*), and  $L/\theta(c_k)$  still satisfies EC1–EC4 by Lemma 5 and AC1–AC4 $n$  by Lemma 2.2 of [12]. Since  $L \cong \prod (L/\theta(c_k), 1 \leq k \leq i + j)$ , it will obviously suffice to construct the desired  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  modulo  $\theta(c_k)$  for each  $k$ . Summing up, the problem reduces to the case where  $L_1$  is a direct product of type (\*), and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$  is a subalgebra of  $L$  isomorphic to  $2$  or  $\hat{F}_n$  ( $n < \omega$ ) or to  $2$  or  $\hat{F}_r$  for some  $r \geq 1$  ( $n = \omega$ ).

We turn to  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ . Observe  $L_1$  is a.c. as a direct product of a.c. factors [12, Lemma 2.2]. So we may proceed as above and replace  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  by a subalgebra of  $L_1$  isomorphic to  $2^p \times \hat{F}_n^q$  ( $n < \omega$ ) or to  $2^p \times \hat{F}_r^q$  ( $n = \omega$ ) for suitable  $p, q, r$ . The centers of these finite algebras contain  $p + q$  atoms  $c'_1, \dots, c'_{p+q} \in CL_1$ .

To carry out the same factorization as above, we have to find  $c_1, \dots, c_{p+q} \in CL$  (nonzero, pairwise disjoint, with join 1) and  $v'_1, \dots, v'_m \in L_1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} j_k(a_1/\theta(c'_k)) &= a_1/\theta(c_k) \\ &\vdots \\ j_k(a_l/\theta(c'_k)) &= a_l/\theta(c_k) \\ j_k(v_1/\theta(c'_k)) &= v'_1/\theta(c_k) \\ &\vdots \\ j_k(v_m/\theta(c'_k)) &= v'_m/\theta(c_k) \end{aligned}$$

induces an isomorphism

$$j_k: \langle a_1, \dots, a_l, v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle / \theta(c'_k) \cong \langle a_1, \dots, a_l, v'_1, \dots, v'_m \rangle / \theta(c_k).$$

If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$  is 2, let  $\{c_1, \dots, c_{p+q}\}$  be an arbitrary proper central partition of 1 in  $L$ . If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$  is  $\hat{F}_r$  for some  $r \in \omega$ , let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$  be the atoms of  $\hat{F}_r$  and  $d$  their join. Proceed as in the proof of Lemma 6 to produce  $c_k \in CL$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p+q$  (nonzero, disjoint, with join 1) such that  $c_k \leq d$  iff  $c'_k \leq d$  and  $c_k \wedge \beta_i \neq 0$  iff  $c'_k \wedge \beta_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Obviously, then,  $j_k$  as defined above will induce an isomorphism  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_l \rangle / \theta(c'_k) \cong \langle a_1, \dots, a_l \rangle / \theta(c_k)$ .  $v'_1, \dots, v'_m \in L_1$  will be constructed in the same way as  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  were obtained in the proof of Lemma 7; the difference being that all the auxiliary elements used in that construction live trivially within the direct product  $L_1$  so we need not appeal to the EC and AC conditions at this point (except for EC1 which guarantees the existence of arbitrarily fine central partitions of  $c_k$  within  $L$ , thus within  $L_1$ ).

Now the problem of finding the required  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in L$  may be factorized again since  $L \cong \prod (L/\theta(c_k))$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p+q$ . Observing that every nontrivial homomorphic image of  $\hat{F}_r$  ( $r \in \omega$ ) is some  $F_s$  ( $s \leq r$ ), we are reduced to considering the two cases dealt with in Lemmata 6 and 7. In view of Lemma 4, the proof of Theorem 2 is now complete, since the cases  $B_0, B_1$  are known [11], [13]. ■

**§3. Model companions for  $T_n$ .** The existence of  $T_n^*$ , the model companion of  $T_n$ , for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$  has been known for some time. As far as the author knows, it appeared in print first in Burris [1]. However, as noted there, no description of the theories  $T_n^*$  was known then.  $T_0^*$  belongs to the folklore of the subject: It is the elementary theory of atomless Boolean algebras, see, e.g., [6] or, for an elementary account, [11]. An explicit description of  $T_1^*$  appeared in Schmitt [13]. Schmitt's constructions are based on some specific features of Stone algebras: The availability of a workable "triple" characterization of Stone algebras, and the coincidence between skeleton and center in such algebras. While the second property fails for  $n \geq 2$ , triple constructions for algebras in  $B_n$  exist for  $n \geq 2$ ; see Katriňák [8] and [9]. Their technical complexity seems, however, to prevent a ready adaptation of Schmitt's techniques to the cases  $n \geq 2$ . A further existence proof for  $T_0^*$ ,  $T_1^*$  and  $T_2^*$  was given by Weispfenning in [14]. No axiomatization of  $T_2^*$  is provided there, however, and the absence of the amalgamation property in  $B_n$  for  $2 < n < \omega$  prevents a direct extension of Weispfenning's results to  $T_n$  for these values of  $n$ .



It is well known that if  $E(K)$  is a generalized elementary class for  $K$  the class of all models of some universal theory  $T$ , then  $T^* = \text{Th}(E(K))$  is the model companion of  $T$ . The  $T_n$  are obviously universal for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ . Now, for  $L \in \underline{B}_n$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$ , the sets  $CL$ ,  $SL$ ,  $DL$ ,  $\text{Ston } L$ ,  $B_L(s)$  are clearly definable by formulae from  $\mathcal{L}$  ( $\mathcal{L}(L)$  for the last); and so is the concept of a partition (proper partition) of fixed length. It follows that conditions AC1–AC4 $n$  and EC1–EC4 may be formalized by  $\forall_2$ -sentences from  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3(n), \phi_4(n)$  be such formalizations of AC1, AC2, AC3 $n$ , AC4 $n$  for  $2 \leq n \leq \omega$ , and similarly  $\theta_i$  for EC $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ). We may now rephrase Theorem 2 as follows:

**THEOREM 8.** *The model companions  $T_n^*$  of  $T_n$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \omega$  are given by:*

$$T_0^* = T_0 \cup \{\phi_1\},$$

$$T_1^* = T_1 \cup \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\},$$

$$T_n^* = T_n \cup \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3(n), \phi_4(n), \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4\} \text{ for } 2 \leq n \leq \omega.$$

*Hence,  $T_n^*$  is finitely axiomatizable for all  $n$ .*

**COROLLARY 9.**  *$T_n^*$  is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical for all  $n$ .*

**PROOF.** See Burris [1]. ■

**COROLLARY 10.**  *$T_n^*$  is a model completion of  $T_n$  precisely for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \omega$ .*

**PROOF.**  $\underline{B}_n = \text{Mod}(T_n)$  has the amalgamation property exactly for these values of  $n$  (see [4]). The result follows (see [2]). ■

**COROLLARY 11.**  *$T_n^*$  is a complete theory for all  $n$ .*

**PROOF.**  $T_n^*$  is complete iff  $\underline{B}_n = \text{Mod}(T_n)$  has the joint embedding property (see [6]). Now  $\underline{2}$  is an absolute subretract in  $\underline{B}_n$  for all  $n$  (see [5]), hence  $L_1, L_2 \in \underline{B}_n$  may be embedded into  $L_1 \times L_2 \in \underline{B}_n$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. BURRIS, *An existence theorem for model companions*, *Proceedings of the Ulm Lattice Theory Conference* (G. Kalmbach, Editor), Ulm, 1975, pp. 33–37.
- [2] P. EKLOF and G. SABBAGH, *Model completions and modules*, *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, vol. 2 (1970), pp. 251–295.
- [3] G. GRÄTZER, *Lattice theory: First concepts and distributive lattices*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1971.
- [4] G. GRÄTZER and H. LAKSER, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices. II: Congruence extension and amalgamation*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 156 (1971), pp. 343–357.
- [5] ———, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices. III: Injective and absolute subretracts*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 169 (1972), pp. 475–487.
- [6] J. HIRSCHFELD and W. H. WHEELER, *Forcing, arithmetic, division rings*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 454, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1975.
- [7] T. KATRÍŃÁK, *Subdirectly irreducible modular  $p$ -algebras*, *Algebra Universalis*, vol. 2 (1972), pp. 166–173.
- [8] ———, *Ueber eine Konstruktion der distributiven pseudokomplementären Verbände*, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 53 (1972), pp. 85–99.
- [9] ———, *Die Kennzeichnung der distributiven pseudokomplementären Halbverbände*, *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 241 (1970), pp. 160–179.
- [10] K. B. LEE, *Equational classes of distributive pseudo-complemented lattices*, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 22(1970), pp. 881–891.
- [11] J. SCHMID, *Algebraically and existentially closed distributive lattices*, *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*, vol. 25 (1979), pp. 525–530.



- [12] ———, *Algebraically closed distributive  $p$ -algebras*, *Algebra Universalis* (to appear).
- [13] P. H. SCHMITT, *The model completion of Stone algebras*, *Annales Scientifiques de l'Université de Clermont, Série Mathématique*, fasc. 13 (1976), pp. 135–155.
- [14] V. WEISPFENNING, *A note on  $\aleph_0$ -categorical model companions*, *Archiv für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagenforschung*, vol. 19(1978), pp. 23–29.

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

BERN, SWITZERLAND